Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/546,007	HAUTOP, JULIE A
	Examiner	Art Unit
	/Stephen J. Castellano/	3781
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) /Stephen J. Castellano/.	(3) Randi Isaacs (appl. rep.).	
(2) Mr. Brandt Madsen (appl. rep.).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 29 September 2008	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: ☐ Month of Interview ☐		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 112, 1 st paragraph.		
Claims discussed: Claims of record.		
Prior art documents discussed: None.		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
/Stephen J. Castellano/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3781	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/546,007

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called to propose language to overcome 112, 1st paragraph rejection. Punctuation changes, spelling changes and other changes to enhance accuracy and form were discussed. The enclosed examiner's amendment is the agreement reached with respect to the claims.